

## TRAFFORD COUNCIL

**Report to:** Planning and Development Management Committee  
**Date:** 11 April 2019  
**Report for:** Information  
**Report of:** Head of Planning and Development

### Report Title

**Compliance review of Local Development Plan Policies with the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (February 2019).**

### Summary

This report is to provide an update the Planning and Development Management Committee on the compliance of the Local Development Policies with the revised National Planning Policy Framework (February 2019) and to give Members an understanding of the weight to be applied to policies when determining planning applications.

### Recommendation

That Planning and Development Management Committee note the contents of this report.

### Contact person for access to background papers and further information:

Name: Sarah Lowes  
Extension: 0161 912 3215

#### 1.0 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 On 24 July 2018, the Government published the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and further updated it on the 19 February 2019. The NPPF promotes the delivery of sustainable development and sets out a policy framework for local planning authorities and decision-takers, both in drawing up plans and taking decisions about planning applications. It replaces the first NPPF which was published in March 2012.
- 1.2 Policies in the revised NPPF should be taken into account straight away when dealing with applications for planning permission. Policies in Local Plans adopted prior to 24 July 2018 should therefore be reviewed to identify the level of consistency the adopted policies continue to have with the NPPF. This will assist local planning authorities in applying the correct weight to existing policies when determining applications.

## **Local Plan**

- 1.3 The Council adopted the current Local Plan, the Core Strategy in January 2012 before the original NPPF was published. The policies were however drafted to be in compliance with the emerging NPPF. This along with the Saved UDP (2006) policies, the Greater Manchester Minerals Local Plan, the Greater Manchester Waste Local Plan, the Altrincham Neighbourhood Business Plan and the Policies Map form the Local Development Plan for the Borough.
- 1.4 Preparation of the new Local Plan is underway. Public consultation was undertaken on the Issues Paper from 23 July to 14 September 2018 with the view of publishing the first draft of the plan in the Autumn of 2019 and for its submission to the Secretary of State to take place in Winter 2020. Additionally Trafford Council is jointly preparing a strategic development plan document (the GMSF) with the other nine Greater Manchester local authorities. Once adopted, these two documents will supersede the Trafford Core Strategy and the remaining saved UDP policies.
- 1.5 Until such a time that the new local plan has been adopted the Core Strategy and the Saved UDP policies and the GM Minerals Local Plan and the GM Waste Local Plan, the Altrincham Neighbourhood Business Plan and the Policies Map remain the development plan for the borough. As a result of the publication of the revised NPPF this year, it is necessary for the Council to review the Local Plan Policies to establish their degree of consistency with new national policy and whether they can continue to be applied with the same weight when determining planning applications

## **2.0 Policy Review**

- 2.1 Paragraph 213 of the NPPF states that '*...existing policies should not be considered out of date simply because they were adopted or made prior to the publication of the Framework. Due weight should be given to policies according to their degree of consistency with the framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the framework the greater the weight that may be given).*' Whilst not explicit it is considered that the NPPF suggests a review of local plan policies in order to assess consistency and identify the appropriate weight to be given to policies.
- 2.2 There are no transitional arrangements in place following the publication of the revised NPPF. This means that establishing the degree of consistency between existing policies and the NPPF is required as soon as possible in order to provide certainty for decision takers in using the Core Strategy Policies to determine applications. Members will be aware that this exercise has already been carried out in respect of relevant policies in individual committee reports.

- 2.3 However, this report gives Members an overview of all development plan policies and can be used as a background paper for decision making. This will enable a simplification of the approach in individual committee reports.
- 2.4 Each policy has been reviewed against the policies in the revised NPPF. A summary of the assessment and the level of consistency with the NPPF has been reported in the following table – Table 1.

### **3.0 Conclusion**

- 3.1 This review has assessed 15 of the 30 Core Strategy Policies as being compliant with policies in the revised NPPF. This means that these local policies have been judged to not conflict with the requirements of the NPPF and can be afforded full weight when determining relevant planning applications.
- 3.2 The remaining 15 policies were assessed as being, in part, inconsistent with the NPPF and therefore in some part 'out of date'. This means that less weight should be given to the out of date parts of these policies when they are used to determine planning applications. The assessment summaries in Table 1 provide further information on which aspects of these policies that are not considered to be fully consistent with national policy. When determining applications against any of these 15 policies, the Planning Officer and Planning Committee should have regard to the reasons why the policy has been given less weight and ensure that the use of the policy is based on the aspects that are consistent with national policy.
- 3.3 Where the Core Strategy Policies are silent on a particular matter, and also for those aspects of the 15 policies that are no longer consistent with the NPPF, policies in the 2019 NPPF should now be used.
- 3.4 The majority of the Saved UDP policies were assessed as being broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF, including their associated allocation(s)/designation(s) on the Policies Map, and weight should be afforded to them appropriately. Table 1 sets out the details of each of these policies.
- 3.5 It is considered that the policies within both the Joint GM Minerals' and Waste Local Plans are consistent with the aims of the NPPF and therefore weight should be afforded to them appropriately.
- 3.6 Given that the Altrincham Neighbourhood Business Plan was adopted less than five years ago, all the policies are deemed to be up to date.

### **4.0 Recommendation**

- 4.1 That the Planning and Development Management Committee Members note the contents of this report and the associated table.

**Table 1: Review of Local Development Plan Policies**

Saved Policy		Review	Consistency with NPPF and weight to be afforded <sup>1</sup>
<b>Core Strategy Policies 2012</b>			
SO1	Meeting housing needs	Policy SO1 seeks to promote high quality housing in sustainable locations to meet the needs of the borough. This is in accordance with the trust of the NPPF to achieve sustainable development (section 2) and to deliver sufficient supply of high quality homes (Section 6).	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO2	Regenerate	Policy SO2 seeks to provide regeneration within the borough and is considered to be in accordance with the aim of the NPPF to deliver sustainable development with economic, social and environmental objectives (section 2).	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO3	Meeting employment need	Policy SO3 is considered to be in accordance with the NPPF in respect of building a strong and competitive economy (Section 6).	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO4	Revitalise town centres	Policy SO4 is considered to be in accordance with the aim of the NPPF to ensure the vitality of town centres (section 7).	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO5	Provide a green environment	Policy SO5 seeks to achieve an appropriate	This policy is consistent with

<sup>1</sup> Please note that where policies relate to site specific allocations on the Policies' Map these retain full weight unless otherwise stated in this schedule.

		level of green space and protect and enhance the natural environment. This is considered to be in accordance with the NPPF (section 15).	the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO6	Reduce the need to travel	Policy SO6 is in accordance with section 9 of the NPPF as it promotes sustainable transport.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO7	Secure sustainable development	Policy SO7 is in accordance with the NPPF aims of sustainable development and meeting the challenge of climate change.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
SO8	Protect the historic built environment	Policy SO8 is not strictly consistent with NPPF heritage policies in that its test is set at enhancement. The NPPF in paragraphs 193-197 set out that great weight should be given to the conservation of heritage assets and seeks to limit the harm to their significance.	The heritage part of this policy is not in accordance with the NPPF and in this regard is considered out of date. Less weight should be afforded to the heritage element of this policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.
SL1	Pomona Island	The aims of this strategic location are in general compliance with the NPPF in relation to the regeneration and provision of new sustainable communities. However the reference to specific housing numbers (see below Policy L1) and heritage (see below Policy R1) are not consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. With specific regard to housing land supply and heritage it is considered to be partly out of date, less weight should be afforded to these parts of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be

			afforded to this.
SL2	Trafford Wharfside	The aims of this strategic location are in general compliance with the NPPF in relation to the regeneration and provision of new sustainable communities. However the reference to specific housing numbers (see below Policy L1) is not consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. With specific regard to housing land supply it is considered to be partly out of date, less weight should be afforded to this part of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.
SL3	Lancashire County Cricket Club	The aims of this strategic location are in general compliance with the NPPF in relation to the regeneration and provision of new sustainable communities. However the references to specific housing numbers (see below Policy L1) and heritage (see below Policy R1) is not consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. With specific regard to housing land supply and heritage it is considered to be partly out of date, less weight should be afforded to these parts of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.
SL4	Trafford Centre Rectangle	The aims of this strategic location are in general compliance with the NPPF in relation to the regeneration and provision of new sustainable communities. However the references to specific housing numbers (see	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. With specific regard to housing land supply and heritage is considered to be partly out of

		below Policy L1) and heritage (see below Policy R1) is not consistent with the NPPF.	date, less weight should be afforded to these part of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.
SL5	Carrington	The aims of this strategic location are in general compliance with the NPPF in relation to the regeneration and provision of new sustainable communities. However the references to specific housing numbers (see below Policy L1) and heritage (see below Policy R1) is not consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. With specific regard to housing land supply and heritage is considered to be partly out of date, less weight should be afforded to these parts of the policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.
L1	Land for New Homes	NPPF paragraph 73 sets out that Local Planning Authorities should be able to demonstrate a 5 year supply of specific deliverable sites. The Council does not at present have a 5 year supply of immediately available housing land. Policy L1 of the Core Strategy seeks to release sufficient land to accommodate a minimum 12,210 new dwellings (net of clearance) over the plan period up to 2026 (ranging between 694 and 578 units per annum). NPPF sets out that the calculation of housing need should be based on the standard methodology. Using this calculation, Trafford's Local Housing Need (LHN) is 1,335	The parts of this policy which relate to housing land requirements do not generally accord with the NPPF and are mainly considered to be out of date. Limited weight should be afforded to this policy. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.

		net homes per annum required. The policy aims to make efficient use of land is considered to be consistent with the policies within the NPPF. Paragraphs L1.10 and L1.11 are considered consistent with the NPPF.	
L2	Meeting Housing Needs	<p>Paragraph L2.5 of this policy (as above) is not in general compliance with the NPPF in respect of housing land supply.</p> <p>The policy in respect of the provision of affordable housing and the efficient use of land is in general compliance with the NPPF. NPPF paragraph 63 states that provision of affordable housing should not be sought for residential development that are not major developments, other than in designated rural areas. Policy L2 paragraph 11 states that the minimum threshold for qualifying sites will be 5 residential units in the boroughs 'hot' and 'moderate' markets and 15 within the boroughs 'cold market locations. This is not consistent with the NPPF and affordable housing provision in 'hot' and 'moderate' markets should only be sought on major applications. The threshold for cold market location will remain at 15 this is considered to be consistent with the NPPF.</p> <p>Paragraph L2.12 is considered up to date as per the Council's recently produced document 'State of the Economy'.</p>	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF however reference to housing number and housing land supply is out of date. Less weight should be afforded to part of this policy.
L3	Regeneration and Reducing Inequalities	Policy L3 of the Core Strategy is not consistent with the NPPF insofar as it refers	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF



		specifically to housing on strategic sites (as per policy L1) but otherwise the policy is considered to be consistent with the NPPF.	however reference to housing numbers and housing land supply is out of date. Less weight should be afforded to part of this policy.
L4	Sustainable Transport and Accessibility	<p>The aim of the policy to deliver sustainable transport is considered to be consistent with the NPPF.</p> <p>Section L4.8 of the policy states that <i>“when considering proposals for new development that individually or cumulatively will have a material impact on the functioning of the Strategic Road Network and the Primary and Local Highway Authority Network, the Council will seek to ensure that the safety and free flow of traffic is not prejudiced or compromised by that development in a significant adverse way”</i>.</p> <p>Paragraph 109 of the NPPF states that <i>“Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe”</i>.</p> <p>Given the more stringent test for the residual cumulative impacts on the road network set by the NPPF, this part of the policy is not consistent with the NPPF.</p> <p>Sections L4.2-L4.4 and L4.14-L4.16 of the policy relating to pedestrian and cycling networks and parking standards is considered to be consistent with NPPF in making the</p>	<p>The key test in this policy is not consistent with the NPPF and is out of date and less weight should be afforded to this in the assessment of the impact on the highway network. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.</p>

		efficient use of land and providing sustainable development.	
L5	Climate Change	Paragraphs L5.1 to L5.11 of the policy are out of date as they do not reflect NPPF guidance on climate change (section 14), whilst the remainder of the policy, including that relating to air quality and pollution is consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally not consistent with the NPPF. Less weight should be afforded to this policy in respect of climate change. In all other aspects this policy is consistent with the NPPF and weight should be afforded to this.
L6	Waste	Policy L6 of the Core Strategy is considered to be compliant with the NPPF and the National Planning Policy for Waste 2014 and should be read in conjunction with the GM waste Plan.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
L7	Design	Policy L7 of the Core Strategy is considered to be compliant with the NPPF and therefore up to date as it comprises the local expression of the NPPF's emphasis on good design and, together with associated SPDs, the Borough's design code.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
L8	Planning Obligation	Policy L8 is in general accordance with the aim of the NPPF although reference to circular 5/2005 (superseded by the NPPF) within the policy is out of date. References to S106 contributions are partly out of date since the Council's adoption of CIL. Associated Supplementary Planning Document SPD1	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF, with some sections out of date. Less weight can be given to this policy.

		relating to Planning Obligations has been updated following the adoption of the Council CIL.	
W1	Economy	Core Strategy Policy W1 is consistent with the NPPF by supporting economic growth.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
W2	Town Centres & Retail	Policy W2 of the Core Strategy is considered to generally be consistent with the NPPF in supporting the growth of its town centres and the role they play in local communities and is therefore up to date. The policy is out of date insofar as it refers specifically to housing & heritage (as per the discussion above with respect of Policies L1 and R1).	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF and should be afforded weight. Less weight should be afforded to those parts of the policy which reference housing land supply and heritage.
W3	Minerals	Policy W3 of the Core Strategy is considered to be compliant with the NPPF in supporting the sustainable management of mineral extraction and should be read in conjunction with the GM minerals plan.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
R1	Historic Environment	Policy R1 of the Core Strategy states that all new development must take account of surrounding building styles, landscapes and historic distinctiveness and that developers must demonstrate how their development will complement and enhance existing features of historic significance including their wider settings, in particular in relation to conservation areas, listed buildings and other	This policy is not generally consistent with the NPPF and in the main is considered out of date. Less weight should be afforded to this policy.

		<p>identified heritage assets. This policy does not reflect case law or the tests of 'substantial' and 'less than substantial harm' to the significance of heritage assets in the NPPF. Policy R1 does not follow the requirement to attach great weight to the conservation of heritage assets.</p> <p>The aims of the wider policy to manage and protect the historic environment are considered to be consistent with the aim of the NPPF.</p>	
R2	Natural Environment	This policy is considered to be consistent with the NPPF in terms of conserving and enhancing the Natural Environment	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
R3	Green Infrastructure	Policy R3 of the Core Strategy is considered to be compliant with the NPPF and therefore up to date in that it promotes the creation, enhancement and maintenance of Green Infrastructure.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
R4	Green Belt, Countryside and Other Protected Open Land	Core Strategy Policy R4 reflects policy set out in the NPPF by stating that new development will only be permitted within the Green Belt where it is for one of the appropriate purposes specified in national guidance, where the proposal does not prejudice the primary purposes of the Green Belt set out in national guidance by reason of its scale, siting, materials or design or where very special circumstances can be demonstrated in	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.

		support of the proposal.	
R5	Open Space, Sport and Recreation	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF policy in respect of the protection of open space and the creation of opportunities for sport and physical activity.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy.
R6	Culture and Tourism	Policy R6 is in general accordance with the NPPF in promoting and seeking to improve the Tourism and Culture offer within the borough.	This policy is consistent with the NPPF and is considered up to date. Full weight should be afforded to this policy
<b>Saved Revised Unitary Development Plan 2006 Policies</b> Saved in either September 2007 or December 2008			
ENV9	Sites of Importance for nature conservation	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy R2. Policy is in generally consistent with the aim of the NPPF. Paragraph 171 sets out that plans should distinguish the hierarchy of designated sites, ENV9 sets out this hierarchy.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
ENV10	Wildlife Corridors	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy R2. Policy is in generally consistent with the aim of the NPPF with respect to the identification, mapping and safeguarding of wildlife corridors.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
ENV13	River Valley Floodplains	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policies R3 and L5. Generally consistent with the NPPF sets presumption against development in floor risk areas.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.

ENV17	Areas of Landscape Protection	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policies R2 & R3. Generally consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
ENV18	The Devisdale	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF policy in respect of the protection of open space	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
ENV19	Special Landscape Features	Generally consistent with the NPPF in respect of conserving and enhancing the natural environment.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
ENV20	Skylines	Partly replaced with Core Strategy R1.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
ENV21	Conservation Areas	Partly replaced with Core Strategy R1. Not in accordance with the NPPF in respect of the test of substantial and less than substantial harm. As discussed above in respect of Core Strategy Policy R1.	This policy is out of date and little weight should be afforded to this.
ENV22	Conservation Area Designations	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy R1. In general compliance with the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit some boundaries have been amended following the recent adoption of Conservation Area Appraisals.
ENV32	Derelict Land Reclamation	Consistent with the NPPF aims to make efficient use of land and conserve and	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF.

		enhance the natural environment.	Some weight can be given to this policy.
E3	Land for Commercial Office Development	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W1. Generally consistent with NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
E7	Main Industrial Areas	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W1. Generally in accordance with aim of NPPF. Carrington.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
E13	Strategic Development Sites	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policies SL1, SL2, SL5, W1, W2 & R4. Remaining policy is generally consistent with the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
E15	Priority Regeneration Area: Carrington	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policies SL, W1 and L1. Remaining policy in general accordance with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP1	Trafford Park Core Industrial Area	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W1. Remaining policy in general accordance with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP2	Pomona Strategic Development Area	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1, SL1 and L1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP3	Hadfield Street Industrial Improvement Area and Empress Street Conservation Area	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1, and R1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP4	Cornbrook Business Park	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF.

		consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP5	Wharfside Strategic Area	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1, SL2 and L1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP6	Village Business Park and Centre	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP7	Electric Park Strategic Site	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP8	Thompson Road Local Strategic Site	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP9	The World Freight Centre	Partly replaced with Core Strategy policies W1. Remaining part of the policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP10	The Trafford Park Ecology Park	This policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP11	The Trafford Park Rail Corridor	This policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF. Metro link extension has been granted permission and is currently being implemented.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the



			ground has superseded elements of the policy.
TP12	The Barton Upon Irwell Conservation Area and industrial Heritage and Interpretation Centre	This policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
TP13	The Manchester United Stadium Area	This policy is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
H3	Land Release for New Housing Development	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L1. The policy has in the main been implemented. Sites identified have either been developed, have extant planning permission or a planning application is currently being considered.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit most elements of the policy have been implemented.
H4	Release of Other Land for Development	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L1. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
H7	Accommodation for Elderly Persons	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L2. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
H9	Priority regeneration Area: Gorse Hill	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L3. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.

H10	Priority regeneration Area: Old Trafford	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L3. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
H11	Priority regeneration Area: Partington	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L3. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR2	Major Leisure Developments	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy R5. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR5	Protection of Open Space	Policy text partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy R5. Not consistent with the tests set out in the NPPF and is out of date. But Policy Map designations remain valid.	This policy is out of date. Little weight can be given to this policy. However Policy Map designations retain full weight.
OSR6	Projected Linear Open Land	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy R3. Remaining policy in is broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR7	Improvement and Provision of Informal Recreation and Children's Play Space Provision	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy R5 and L8.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.

OSR8	Improvement and provision of Outdoor Sports Facilities	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy R5 and L8.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.
OSR10	Allotments	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3 and R5. The NPPF is silent on Allotments, but seeks to protect open space. Remaining policy broadly in compliance with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR11	Golf Courses	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3 and R5. The NPPF is silent on Golf Course however is consistent with the aim to conserve and enhance the natural environment.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR12	Country Parks and Informal recreation Areas	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R4 and R5. Generally consistent with the NPPF aims to conserve and enhance the natural environment.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR13	Sale Water Park	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3 and R5. Generally consistent with the NPPF aims to conserve and enhance the natural environment.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR14	Recreational use of the Bridgewater Canal	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policy L4. Generally consistent with the NPPF aims to conserve and enhance the natural environment.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.

OSR15	Integrated Access Network for Trafford	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3. Generally consistent with the NPPF aims to conserve and enhance the natural environment.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR16	Protection of Access Network	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3. Generally consistent with the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR17	Disused Railway Lines	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3. Generally consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
OSR18	District Outdoor Sports Stadium	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3. Out of date in respect of green belt policy.	This policy is out of date. Little weight can be given to this policy.
OSR19	Major Indoor Sports Facilities	Partly replaced by Core Strategy Policies R3. Generally consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
S6	Development in Altrincham Town Centre	Partly replaced with Policy W2 and the Altrincham Neighbourhood Business Development Plan. Partly out of date in that the Hospital building has been regenerated, along with a number of buildings along Greenwood Street and in the wider town centre area. In addition highway and public realm improvements have been implemented. Remaining sections of policy are considered	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground and the Neighbourhood Business Development Plan has superseded elements of the policy, including amendments

		to be consistent with the NPPF.	to the Town Centre Boundary in the Neighbourhood Plan.
S7	Development in Sale Town Centre	Partly replaced with Policy W2. Redevelopment of the Town Centre has been granted planning permission. Remaining policy generally consistent with NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.
S8	Development in Stretford Town Centre	Partly replaced with Policy W2. Remaining policy generally consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.
S9	Development in Urmston Town Centre	Partly replaced with Policy W2. Planning permission has been granted and implemented for the redevelopment of part of the town centre with the provision of a large supermarket. Remaining policy generally consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy..
S10	Local and Neighbourhood Shopping Centres	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W2. Remaining policy generally consistent with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy, albeit activity on the ground has superseded elements of the policy.

S11	Development outside Established Centre	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W2. Remaining parts not consistent with NPPF retail policy.	This policy is in the main out of date. Some weight can be given to this policy.
S12	Retail Warehouse Park Development	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W2 remaining policy broadly consistent with NPPF policy.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
S13	Non Shop Service Uses within Town and District Shopping Centres	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W2 remaining policy broadly consistent with NPPF policy.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
S14	Non Shops Uses within Local and Neighbourhood Shopping Centres	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy W2. Remaining parts not consistent with NPPF retail policy.	This policy is in the main out of date. Some weight can be given to this policy.
T8	Improvements to the Highway Network	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy L4. Remaining policy in general accordance with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
T10	Transport and Land Use in Town Centres	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy L4. Remaining policy in general accordance with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
T11	High Quality Integrated Public Transport Network Improvements	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy L4. Metrolink extension has permission and is being implemented. Remaining policy in general accordance with the aims of the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.

T18	New Facilities for Cyclists	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy L4. Remaining policy in general accordance with the aims of the NPPF	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
C4	Green Belt	Partly replaced with Core Strategy Policy R4. Consistent with NPPF policy	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
C8	Protected Open Land	Mainly replaced with Core Strategy Policy R4. Remaining part of the policy is consistent with the NPPF in allowing development of open land in exceptional circumstances.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.
MD1	The Sinderland Road Development Area	Development of this area has taken place.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF, albeit the policy has been implemented.
D5	Special Health and Safety Development Control Sub-areas	Generally in accordance with the NPPF.	This policy is generally consistent with the NPPF. Some weight can be given to this policy.